1	TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP Daniel J. Furniss (State Bar No. 73531) djfurniss@townsend.com Annie M. Rogaski (State Bar No. 184754) amrogaski@townsend.com		
2			
3	379 Lytton Avenue Palo Alto, California 94301		
4	Telephone: (650) 326-2400 Facsimile: (650) 326-2422		
5	BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC		
6	Michael J. Abernathy (pro hac vice) mabernathy@bellboyd.com Lisa A. Carroll (pro hac vice) lcarroll@bellboyd.com Michael E. Martinez (pro hac vice) mmartinez@bellboyd.com 70 West Madison Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Telephone: (312) 372-1121		
7			
8			
9	Facsimile: (312) 827-8000		
10	GARDNER CARTON & DOUGLAS LLP Patrick J. Kelleher (pro hac vice) pkelleher@gcd.com		
11	Mary Elizabeth Brown (pro hac vice) mebrown@gcd.com  191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 3700		
12	Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 569-1000		
13	Facsimile: (312) 569-3375		
14	BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION		
15	Joseph P. Reagen (pro hac vice) joseph_reagen@baxter.com One Baxter Parkway		
	Deerfield, Illinois 60015		
16	Attorneys for Defendants/Counter-Plaintiffs BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC.		
17	and BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19	OAKLAND DIVISION		
20	FRESENIUS USA, INC., a Massachusetts corporation; and FRESENIUS MEDICAL CARE	Case No. C 03-1431 SBA (EDL)	
21	HOLDINGS, INC., a New York corporation,,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING IN PART BAXTER'S MOTION TO	
22	Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants,	COMPEL PURSUANT TO RULES 37(a) AND 37(b)	
23	v.	ROLES 57(a) AND 57(b)	
24	BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC., a Delaware corporation; and BAXTER HEALTHCARE		
25	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	Magistrate Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte	
26	Defendants and Counter-Plaintiffs.		
27			
28			

The Motion to Compel Pursuant to Rules 37(a) and 37(b) brought by Baxter International Inc. and Baxter Healthcare Corporation ("Baxter") against Fresenius USA, Inc. and Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc. ("Fresenius") came before the Court for argument on January 17, 2006. The Court having considered the materials submitted by the parties and the arguments in open court,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Baxter's Motion to Compel is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART as follows:

- (1) Fresenius shall produce, in electronic form, a legend showing every "ship to" number that corresponds to each "sold to" number in Fresenius' SAP database. Fresenius shall comply with this portion of this Order on or before March 17, 2006.
- (2) Fresenius shall make all reasonable efforts to identify those customers who were consolidated into one "sold to" number and to identify the multiple "sold to" numbers previously associated with each such customer. Fresenius shall advise Baxter of the results of those efforts on or before March 1, 2006.
- (3) Fresenius shall produce, in electronic form, a report from Fresenius' SAP database showing the "contract number" associated with each "invoice number" that has appeared in the invoice-level sales data previously produced by Fresenius in this litigation. Fresenius shall comply with this portion of this Order on or before May 8, 2006. Baxter shall reimburse Fresenius for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in complying with this portion of this Order. Fresenius shall inform Baxter of any expected out-of-pocket expenses before incurring them.
- (4) Fresenius shall produce all existing pre-April 1999 invoice-level sales data in substantially the same form and with the same searchability features as it exists within Fresenius. Fresenius shall comply with this portion of this Order on or before March 2, 2006.

## Case 4:03-cv-01431-PJH Document 440 Filed 03/02/06 Page 3 of 4

(5) Fresenius shall provide answers, in writing, to six of the questions appearing on Exhibit 17 of Baxter's Motion to Compel. These six questions shall include the four questions quoted on page 11 of Baxter's Reply Brief and questions No. 3 (beginning "In the databases . . .") and No. 9 (beginning "Explain what products . . .") on Exhibit 17. Fresenius shall comply with this portion of this Order on or before March 3, 2006.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 1, 2006

HONOR Judge Elizabeth D. LAPORTE MAGISTRATE AND THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT

**D**. *l* 

IT IS SO ORDERED

CH01/12459358.3

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned attorney certifies, under penalty of perjury, as follows:

I am a citizen of the United States and am a partner in the Chicago office of the law firm of Gardner Carton & Douglas. I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 191 N. Wacker Dr. #3700, Chicago, IL 60606.

On 21 February 2006, I served the following:

• Proposed Order Granting in Part Baxter's Motion to Compel Pursuant to Rules 37(a) and 37(b)

on the parties or their counsel shown below as follows:

By electronic delivery to:

Stuart L. Gasner
David J. Silbert
Khari Tillery
KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
slg@kvn.com; djs@kvn.com; kTillery@kvn.com

Todd G. Miller
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
12390 El Camino Real
San Diego, CA 92130
miller@fr.com

Mathias W. Samuel FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 60 S. Sixth St. #3300 Minneapolis, MN 55402 samuel@fr.com

Executed on 21 February 2006 at Chicago, IL,

 /s/ Patrick	<u>J. Kelleher</u>
Patrick 1	. Kelleher